

Assessing PUV Modernization Program Industry Consolidation and Financing Towards Just Transition for Transport Workers

Ramir Seraphim Llarinas **Angeles***, Nicole Anne Alvarillo **Cobarrubias****, Bianca Mae Cruz **Cipriano***, Joan Escalera **Aguilar***, Reycel Hyacenth Nacario **Bendaña***~, Kenneth Isaiah Ibasco **Abante***^

- * Just Transition Policy Research Team, Move As One Coalition
- ** Fellow, WeSolve Foundation
- ~ Fellow, WeSolve Foundation; Coordinator, Move As One Coalition
- [^] Research Faculty, Department of Interdisciplinary Studies, Ateneo de Manila University; President, WeSolve Foundation

Comments welcome via moveasonecoalition@gmail.com.

Acknowledgements and Disclosures

We would like to thank the National Confederation of Transport Workers Union (NCTU) and their member transport service cooperatives who participated in the study as key informants who helped shape this research through their insights and experiences. We thank the public transport advocates, technical experts, academics, and members of civil society for their valuable feedback on earlier drafts of this paper.

This research was independently produced with support from Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung Philippines, Sentro ng mga Nagkakaisa at Progresibong Manggagawa, and WeSolve Foundation, Inc. The views expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not represent Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung Philippines or Sentro ng mga Nagkakaisa at Progresibong Manggagawa. The authors declare no competing interests.

This year, the Move As One Coalition celebrates its fourth year advocating for safe, humane, and inclusive transportation. We are deeply honored to work with a passionate community of advocates who dream and do the everyday work of improving our transportation system. We hope to contribute to our collective action by adding to the growing body of policy and systems research on Philippine transport.

This working paper is part of a series of policy and systems research papers by the Move As One Coalition. Read our other work on <u>https://www.moveasoneph.org/our-policy-papers</u> or on our authors' <u>ResearchGate</u> pages.

Keywords: public transport, PUVMP, PTMP, just transition, transit subsidization



Table of Contents

Assessing PUV Modernization Program Industry Consolidation and Financing Towards Just	st
Transition for Transport Workers	1
Acknowledgements and Disclosures	1
Table of Contents	2
1. Introduction	3
1.1. Jeepneys in the Philippine public transport system	3
1.2. The Development of the PUVMP and its objectives	3
1.3. Criticisms and opposition to the PUVMP implementation	5
1.4. Cost Recovery of Modernizing Public Utility Vehicles	5
1.5. Need for Just Transition	6
2. Research Question and Objectives	6
3. Review of Related Literature	7
3.1. Decarbonization and Just Transition	7
3.1.1. Brief history of Just Transition in international literature	7
3.1.2. Just transition in the context of PUVMP	7
3.2. Financing just transition within the PUVMP	7
3.2.1. PUVMP case studies	7
3.2.2. Government subsidies in public transportation	9
3.2.3. Issues with supply side transit subsidies	9
4. Methodology	10
5. Results and Discussion	11
5.1. Cooperative revenue and expenses	12
5.2. Financing strategies and current government assistance received	13
5.3. Financial Analysis	15
5.4. Cost recovery analysis of Cooperative IV-A	16
5.5. Net service contracting schemes	18
6. Conclusion	19
References	21



1. Introduction

1.1. Jeepneys in the Philippine public transport system

Public Utility Jeepneys (PUJ), or simply jeepneys are the most popular and most frequently used mode of public transport in Metro Manila that operates on fixed routes (JICA, 2022). There are 73,000 jeepneys operating in Metro Manila, and 300,000 nationwide, constituting approximately 3% of the total number of vehicles both in Metro Manila and nationwide (Mendoza, 2021). In Metro Manila, jeepneys ply 677 routes serving 8.9 million passenger-trips per day (Mondalbo, 2020). According to Dimalanta et al. (2023), public transportation modal share in Philippine urban areas is a towering 80% of trips, in which jeepneys serve 40%. Dimalanta et al. states that jeepneys provide an affordable, accessible, convenient, and versatile public transport which makes it an essential mode of transport for the majority of the Filipino population in urban areas.

Even with the vast number and popularity of jeepneys, they are widely considered as informal transport or paratransit (Mateo-Babiano et al., 2020; Dimalanta et al., 2023). However, jeepneys along with other public transport modes such as buses, UV Express, and taxis, are regulated by the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board (LTFRB), an attached agency to the Department of Transportation (DOTr). Pursuant to Executive Order No. 202, LTFRB regulates public transport operations through a franchising system in which public transport operators are authorized to run public utility vehicles or PUVs on pre-approved routes upon the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience (CPC). According to JICA (2022), there is a vehicle-to-franchise ratio of 2.25, with the majority of jeepney operators at 78% owning only a single jeepney unit. Due to this, JICA states that the jeepney sector is "highly fragmented and individualized in terms of ownership and operation".

Jeepneys are considered as symbols of national pride (Gatarin, 2024), due to their iconic designs, refashioned by local manufacturers like Sarao Motors and Francisco Motors from military *jitneys* left by the Americans after World War II. However, Gatarin (2024) describes that the old design of the jeepney along with the fragmented nature of its operations led state policy discourse to view jeepneys as outdated and the "antithesis to being modern" which led to the narrative of needing to modernize traditional jeepneys. Mendoza (2021) adds that the environmental unsoundness of traditional jeepney vehicles, including smoke-belching and fuel inefficiency, is a main force driving a need to modernize jeepney transport. Most jeepneys are equipped with second hand and inadequately maintained engines that significantly contribute to air pollution in Philippine urban cities (Blacksmith Institute & Clean Air Asia, 2017).

The Philippine government under President Rodrigo Roa Duterte, ratified the Paris Agreement on climate change in February 2017. The Paris Agreement aims to keep global warming to below 2° Celsius above pre-industrial levels and limit temperature increase to 1.5°C. In line with the Agreement, the Philippines pledged to reduce carbon emissions by 70% through Intended Nationally Determined Contributions or INDCs (McClean, 2017; Dimalanta & Morales, 2024).

1.2. The Development of the PUVMP and its objectives

The Public Utility Vehicle Modernization Program (PUVMP) is part of the Philippines' INDCs under the Paris Agreement, enacted through the DOTr Department Order No. 2017-011, titled the "Omnibus



Guidelines on the Planning and Identification of Public Road Transportation Services and Franchise Issuance", or simply the Omnibus Franchising Guidelines (OFG). The enactment of the OFG ended a 14-year moratorium on the issuance of franchises to public transport operators placed by LTFRB though the Memorandum Circular 2003-028. During this period, there have been no standard guidelines for the issuance of public transport franchises, although exceptions were established which granted CPCs to public transport operators across several modes (Angeles et al., 2024)

Within the OFG, new policies are set to require the consolidation of PUV operators, who were previously individual franchise-holders, into cooperatives or corporations which shall then hold one franchise. The policy transforms the current status quo of single ownership towards requiring the management and dispatching of PUV fleets with a "common revenue sharing and fleet management" through a one-route-one-franchise system (LTO, n.d.). The new system establishes the payment of fixed salaries and provisions of benefits and incentives to drivers instead of the prevailing boundary system in which a driver's revenue is divided into a fixed boundary that gets paid to the operator, with the remaining value kept as daily income.

Fleet modernization requires updated specifications for new PUVs with additional safety and environmental-soundness features. Modern PUV units require at the minimum new Euro IV engines as an improvement to old second hand engines used in traditional units. The PUVMP also promotes the transition to the use of electric jeepneys or e-jeepneys, supported by the Electric Vehicle Industry Development Act or EVIDA.

The PUVMP has ten (10) components, namely:

- 1. Regulatory reform
- 2. Local public transport route planning by the local government
- 3. Route rationalization study
- 4. Fleet modernization
- 5. Industry consolidation
- 6. Financing PUV modernization
- 7. Vehicle useful life program
- 8. Pilot implementation
- 9. Stakeholder support mechanism
- 10. Communication

In 2023, the PUVMP policy was updated with the DOTr Department Order No. 2023-022 Guidelines on the Implementation of the Public Transport Modernization Program¹. The PTMP guidelines updated the scope of the PUVMP to highlight public transport route rationalization and local public transport route planning by Local Government Units (LGUs) in a "whole-of-government" approach in improving public transport services. Under the updated PTMP policy, LGUs are mandated to create Local Public Transport Route Plans (LPTRP) for the approval of LTFRB and a Technical Panel headed by the DOTr Planning and Project Development Office and including members from other national government agencies and the academe.

¹ The DOTr Department Order No. 2023-022 updated the name of the PUVMP into the PTMP. But the research team opted to continue using the term PUVMP due to its widespread use in the body of existing literature.



The inclusion of local public transport route planning and route rationalization studies adds to the PUVMP by mandating the improvement of public transport service characteristics based on passenger demand data and aligning public transport plans to overall city or municipal development plans. Ideally, this shall lead to improved service quality such as predictable interval times and operating hours of PUV routes, and strategic development of public transport infrastructure.

1.3. Criticisms and opposition to the PUVMP implementation

Since its introduction in 2017, the PUV Modernization Program (PUVMP) has encountered significant resistance from transport worker groups. Notable organizations include the Federation of Jeepney Operators and Drivers Association of the Philippines (FEJODAP), National Confederation of Transportworkers Union (NCTU), Alliance of Concerned Transport Operators (ACTO), Land Transportation Organization of the Philippines (LTOP), Pasang Masda, Alliance of Transport Operators and Drivers Association of the Philippines (ALTODAP), STOP and GO Coalition, and PISTON (Pinagkaisang Samahan ng mga Tsuper at Operator Nationwide). These groups organized nationwide transport strikes, with the largest during the program's early years, to protest what they viewed as financially burdensome and poorly planned reforms.

STOP and GO Coalition also pursued legal avenues, filing multiple petitions to halt the PUV Modernization Program, but these were repeatedly dismissed by courts. Their efforts emphasized the burdensome cost of new vehicles, insufficient subsidies, and the risk of economic displacement for drivers and operators. Over time, resistance from transport groups persisted, challenging the government and its implementing agencies for pressing forward with the program without adequately addressing the sector's longstanding concerns (Dimalanta & Morales, 2024).

The National Confederation of Transport Workers Union (NCTU) has taken a broader stance, emphasizing a "just transition" framework that extends beyond acquiring new units as a response to the climate crisis. They demand just transition and equitable support towards transport workers, both consolidated and unconsolidated. While less prominent in protest coverage than other groups, NCTU actively participated in rallies and mobilizations, emphasizing that a just transition should prioritize comprehensive improvements to the public transportation system rather than merely focusing on acquiring new vehicles. Their vision includes serving Filipino commuters while ensuring sustainable livelihoods and fair treatment for transport workers across the sector.

Widespread opposition to the PUVMP ultimately led to numerous extensions in the deadline for consolidation imposed by DOTr and LTFRB, with the final deadline being 30 April 2024. However, a Senate resolution has since deferred the program, which led LTFRB to reopen the period for consolidation for 45 days starting from 15 October to 29 November 2024. Nevertheless, opposition and protest actions do not merely aim for extensions to the consolidation deadline, but rather demand a thorough review of the program and its implementation that involves all stakeholders (Dimalanta & Morales, 2024).

1.4. Cost Recovery of Modernizing Public Utility Vehicles

The PUVMP has been tagged as anti-poor due to the high costs of purchasing brand new modern PUJ units required by the OFG. Prices of modern PUJ units reach up to Php 2.8 million in 2023 (Ramos, 2023; Dimalanta et al., 2023; Mendoza, 2021), which will be shouldered by operators and drivers. On



the other hand, traditional jeepneys are priced from Php 200,000 to Php 400,000. The large difference in cost exceeds the financial capabilities of small-scale traditional PUJ operators and drivers, who fear that they might not be able to recover the high capital cost of modernization through fare revenues.

There are government "service contracting" schemes in addition to government subsidies for acquiring modern units with loan programs provided by the Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP) and Land Bank of the Philippines (LBP). However, they are considered insufficient (Dimalanta et al., 2023) and as a result, resulting cost deficits may ultimately be shouldered by the commuting public, potentially leading to drastic increases in passenger fares to as much as Php 34, compared to Php 13 as of time of writing (Mendoza, 2021). This then in turn may make public transport highly unaffordable to the masses and defeat the objectives of the PUVMP.

Another reason for opposition to the PUVMP is mandatory franchise consolidation and surrendering of individual franchises. Uncertain of their capacity to shoulder the high costs of consolidation and modernization, many transport workers fear losing their livelihood, afraid that they might not be able to obtain a franchise and ply their routes upon surrender of their individual franchises.

1.5.Need for Just Transition

Issues in the implementation of the PUVMP and prevailing opposition to the program emphasize the need for a "just transition" (Dimalanta & Morales, 2024; Gatarin, 2024). Dimalanta et al. (2024) states that the PUVMP failed to address the needs of stakeholders of the public transport industry, which underscores the need for a just transition approach to be adopted by the program. Moreover, Dimalanta and Morales (2024) states that not only the language of just transition must be incorporated in the PUVMP policies and guidelines, but that justice shall be realized during the transition by the most vulnerable groups affected by the program.

2. Research Question and Objectives

The study aims to examine the PUVMP and its implementation with regard to the transition of public transport cooperatives complying with the program. The study is guided by the research question: *How might we improve the Public Utility Vehicle Modernization Program (PUVMP) to ensure a just transition for transport workers?* The study focuses on industry consolidation, fleet modernization, and the financing of the PUV modernization components of the PUVMP, specifically the financial elements of transition in PUV operator consolidation and fleet operations.

The study has the following objectives:

- 1. Examine the financial situations of transport workers and cooperatives before and after the implementation of the PUVMP and how they transitioned from individual franchise owners into consolidated entities.
- 2. Determine government financial support mechanisms necessary for a just transition and just reform in the public transport industry.
- 3. Provide empirical and quantitative calculation on the level of public transport investments required to enhance the quality of transportation services while ensuring fares remain affordable for the average Filipino



3. Review of Related Literature

3.1. Decarbonization and Just Transition

3.1.1. Brief history of Just Transition in international literature

According to Dimalanta and Morales (2024), "just transition" as a concept was developed in the United States in the 1970s - 1980s as a response to "job blackmail" - the idea "that workers must choose between their employment and environmental health". The movement towards just transition aimed to address the social impacts of environmental health-related programs, especially those that significantly affect employment of workers. The concept of just transition has been mainstreamed due to its integration to the Paris Agreement in 2015, and the publishing of just transition guidelines by the International Labour Organization (Stevis, Morena & Krause, 2019 as cited by Dimalanta & Morales, 2024)

3.1.2. Just transition in the context of PUVMP

Dimalanta and Morales (2024) examined the PUVMP in terms of the just transition's dimensions of justice, focusing on transport cooperatives from Bacolod City. Their research revealed so-called "forms of injustice" within the PUVMP's franchise consolidation, fleet modernization, financing, and vehicle useful life components. Operators were compelled to consolidate due to fear of losing their franchise and livelihood, without proper guidance from the government on the intricacies of consolidation and fleet operations. The requirement for new modern PUV units placed an immense financial burden on operators, with compliant units costing an average of PhP 2.7 million due to higher specifications compared to traditional units. However, obtaining modern units does not guarantee being awarded a franchise, since they still have to undergo the process of approval from LTFRB, competing with other cooperatives for a franchise to operate particular routes.

Dimalanta and Morales (2024) points out that the PUVMP aligns with a form of transition that is stripped of its transformative agenda - focusing on technological fixes while retaining and worsening the conditions of marginalized transport workers. The major issue driving opposition is the displacement of transport workers, in particular informal and small-capacity workers and transport groups, as single operators lose their individual franchises to pave the way for franchise consolidation in which cooperatives or corporations will be franchise-holders.

Gatarin (2024) states that while new developments in public transport planning and policy have been overdue in the Philippines, these plans and policies must ensure that no one is left behind, and that strategies and processes ensure just transition towards a more inclusive and sustainable transport system. Pathways for just transition can be better contextualized through the proper understanding of labor conditions of transport workers, need of government support, and the needs of commuters.

3.2. Financing just transition within the PUVMP

3.2.1. PUVMP case studies

Sunio et al. (2019) describes the PUVMP as a "transition experiment", which is defined by van den Bosch and Rotmans (2008) as "an innovation project with a societal challenge as a starting point for



learning aimed at contributing to a transition. Sunio et al. continue to state that the PUVMP was designed to be implemented gradually, with its components not necessarily having to be implemented simultaneously. They describe the case of the Taguig Transport Service Cooperative (TTSC), a successful case of consolidation and modernization that should be replicated in other cities. In this case, success is defined as the ability of a transport service entity to operate professionally - with the capacity for fleet management, automatic fare collection system (AFCS), etc. with the presence of government support and subsidy.

They identify three lessons that can be learned from this case:

- 1. Route rationalization is essential;
- 2. Strong cooperatives that will champion consolidation in their localities are important; and
- 3. Financing in the form of loans and incentives is needed to stimulate the support of small transport operators.

Gaining the support of opposing groups, which primarily include small transport operators and drivers, prove critical to the success of the PUVMP, as resistance to the program alongside the need for improvements in public transport governance are obstacles for the replication of successful cases of transition and modernization towards other TSEs across the country. Sunio et al. describes this as the "politics of transition", and that beyond "neutralizing" opposition, the government shall aim to stimulate support through increased financial incentives and subsidies.

Pontawe and Napalang (2018) evaluated the financial viability of consolidation and modernizing a PUJ fleet through a case study of the 1-Transport Equipment Aggregator and Management Inc. or 1TEAM, a transport management corporation which has modernized their traditional PUJ fleet and acts as the fleet manager. During their modernization, management agreements were made between 1TEAM and 20 operators with traditional jeepneys, with 1TEAM shouldering all expenses including the downpayment for the purchase of new modern units, amortization expenses for a duration of 7 years, salaries of drivers and other personnel, operational expenses, maintenance expenses, and a fixed monthly boundary for operators. Pontawe and Napalang had the following findings in their financial analysis of 1TEAM's operations:

- 1. Operators, who now have no responsibility in fleet management, received fixed monthly boundaries higher than their monthly net income prior to modernization.
- 2. Drivers had a net monthly income increase between Php 7,000 and Php 13,000, with employee benefits.
- 3. Maintenance expenses are lower after modernization since new vehicles required less maintenance.
- 4. Operational expenses are higher due to increasing fuel prices, additional manpower requirements, and leasing of additional facilities.

Pontawe and Napalang concluded that the financial viability of modernization greatly depends on efficient fleet management, adding that "the bigger the fleet, the bigger the income". However, it is important to note that 1TEAM was able to provide the capital required for the downpayment and subsequent amortization of 30 modern PUJ units. They point out that a reasonable government subsidy shall be equivalent to the downpayment per unit to be paid by transport groups. Additionally, non-fiscal incentives such as low emission zones, number coding exemptions, and tax waivers may entice operators to modernize.



The studies of Sunio et al. and Pontawe and Napalang focused on the nationwide pilot implementation of the PUVMP. However, there is a need to put into context the time in which the study was conducted which was prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. The Inter-Agency Task Force for the Management of Emerging Infectious Diseases (IATF) placed restrictions on public transport operations which severely affected the livelihoods of jeepney drivers and operators (Aggabao et al., 2022). At the same time, after pandemic restrictions were lifted, not all PUV routes resumed operations. As such, there is a need to revisit the conditions of transitioning transport cooperatives pre and post-pandemic.

Gaspay and Salison (2024) assessed the economics of local e-jeepney transition and operations, adding that other enabling factors that contribute to the successful adoption of e-jeepneys and participation in the modernization program include, (1) access to alternative financing schemes such as leasing options for battery acquisition, and (2) profits from the service contracting program.

3.2.2. Government subsidies in public transportation

Transit subsidies have been widespread internationally since the 1960s and 1970s (Estupiñan et al., 2007). Subsidies channeled to transport suppliers or operators are considered supply side subsidies. The objective of supply side subsidies is to lower the cost of service to users by partially shouldering costs that should be funded by fares. The farebox recovery ratio is a quantity used to measure the proportion of the amount of revenue generated through fares as a fraction of the cost of its total operating expenses (WSDOT, 2009). According to a 2009 US Washington State Department of Transportation report on transit farebox recovery and subsidization, the median farebox recovery ratio of major transit systems in the US is 35%, in Europe 44%, Canada 56%, and Asia 137%. This data shows that government transit subsidies are essential for public transport to operate in developed countries, while in many developing Asian countries, fare revenues are expected to be enough to recover operating costs - also called a cost recovery fare. In the Philippines this is the case across road-based public transport systems, including jeepneys, buses, and UV Express services. Meanwhile, Philippine rail systems are subsidized to ensure affordable fares. For example, the LRT-2 line receives subsidies equivalent to 51% of the fare cost per passenger (Relativo, 2023), which roughly equates to a 49% farebox recovery ratio. In 2023, there was a proposal to decrease government subsidy to 46% per passenger, which would result in a fare increase of Php 2.50.

Supply side government subsidy ensures that fares are low and affordable. Without adequate government subsidy, high operating and capital costs of transit services will make farebox cost recovery difficult without imposing equally high fares to the public. In the case of the PUVMP, transport cooperatives and operators will have to face the high capital cost of acquiring brand new modern vehicles, in addition to higher operating costs (Pontawe & Napalang, 2018) compared to traditional units. We argue that the combined high capital and operating costs warrant adequate government subsidies that at least ensure cost recovery for transport cooperatives.

3.2.3. Issues with supply side transit subsidies

According to Estupiñan et al. (2007), when supply side subsidies are provided as *unconditional operating and capital subsidy* - meaning annual cost deficits of public transport operators are fully shouldered by the government, subsidies may lead to raised costs and reduced efficiency of public transport. For example, if subsidies are merely tied to kilometers traveled, unnecessary trips may be done by the driver to artificially boost their recorded travel distance. On the other hand, if subsidies are merely tied to the number of passengers served, then it may lead to competition for passengers, similar



to how public utility vehicles tend to compete for passengers under the boundary system. Estupiñan et al. continues that government funding incentives must be tied to carefully-designed performance and productivity conditions to avoid efficiency problems. Service contracting that clearly stipulates a designed route service plan including standard frequencies and headways can reduce the risk of efficiency problems. Additionally, Chang et al., (2022) propose changes in key performance metrics that focus on public transport user and system-centered indicators for the monitoring and evaluation of government transport programs. Measurable improvements in passenger service quality associated with a high level of public transport service will provide support for further government investment in public transportation.

4. Methodology

The research employs a case study approach to examine the experiences and conditions faced by transport cooperatives that underwent consolidation and modernization in compliance with the PUVMP. The research explores case studies of selected transport service cooperatives from the National Confederation of Transport Workers Union (NCTU), an umbrella organization of associations, unions, and federations of various land transport workers in the Philippines.

For many years, NCTU has advocated for aspects of the PUVMP grounded in a just transition—where the government provides subsidies for financing modernized units, transport workers are given sufficient time to establish systems and processes, and commuters benefit from quality transportation services guided by performance metrics. As a result, the research identifies their cooperatives as the most suitable for conducting case studies and financial analyses to determine the recommended level of funding needed from the government to support transport workers in successfully transitioning into stable cooperatives capable of providing coordinated transport services.

The selected transport cooperatives for the study are members of NCTU from the following regions: National Capital Region (NCR); CALABARZON (Region IV-A), and Central Visayas (Region VII). They were selected as case studies due to their diverse characteristics and experiences in their transition to the PUVMP.

Focus group discussions and key informant interviews were conducted with leaders and staff members of each cooperative to learn about their service characteristics, consolidation history, and current challenges with the program's implementation. The focus group discussions with Cooperatives IV-A and NCR were conducted on October 3, 2024, while VII was on October 9, 2024.

Readily available pertinent documents and data such as annual financial statements from 2020 to 2023, loan term agreements, service contracting agreements, and fleet operational characteristics were also obtained from the cooperatives. This data was then examined through financial analysis to determine their cost recovery ratio, defined as the ratio of operational and non-operational revenues to the cooperative's operational and non-operational costs.

We then examine the current level of government subsidy received by the cooperatives and how this affects their financial situation relative to operational and non-operational revenues and costs. A proposed ideal amount of government investment will then be determined that ensures cost recovery considering the amortization costs of the cooperative for modern jeepney units.



5. Results and Discussion

For the purposes of the study, cooperatives will be labeled using the region they represent, namely "Cooperatives NCR, Region IV-A, and Region VII".

The three (3) cooperatives in the study all consist of jeepney operators who consolidated and registered as cooperatives during the early stages of the PUVMP. They have differing member registration schemes, with different membership fees and capital shares per member.

The province cooperatives IV-A and VII have **acquired modern jeepney units through financing Ioans**, with IV-A having 87 modern units and VII having 16 units. VII has emphasized the contribution of service contracting in aiding them to acquire additional units. In addition to this, VII emphasized that there was a need to reach out for assistance from non-government organizations such as other cooperatives in order to acquire said units.

During the discussion, the members of VII expressed their difficulty consolidating into a cooperative, stating that:

"From registration.. mahirap talaga. Hindi lahat ng members naintindihan yung functions and duties and responsibility of coop. From the start, walang gustong maging officer. Nung nagka-unit, marami nang gustong maging officer... Sa kanila, big issue po talaga ang pagma-manage."

"Starting from the registration, we were faced with difficulties. Not all of the cooperative members understood the duties and responsibilities of a cooperative. Right from the start, the issue was no one wanted to become an officer. However, upon the acquisition of units, there were interests for members to become officers."

In addition to this, VII mentioned how through external help from NCTU, the process became easier stating that:

"Nung nag-start kami, with the help of NCTU, na-approve yung loan namin from Landbank to acquire 10 units. Nung nagsimula ng ng operations, masaya yung mga member. Dun namin na-feel.. may bayarin ka every month. Yung competition.. we feel na mabigat na. Monthly na dapat mong habulin sa loan amortization...Yung nagkaroon na ng Service Contracting, mahalaga po talaga."

"When we started, with the help of NCTU, our loans to acquire 10 units were approved by Landbank. We started our operations and the members were happy. During this time we felt our responsibilities, you have monthly payments, there's competition. Service Contracting was very helpful."

While Cooperatives IV-A and VII were able to acquire modern units, Cooperative NCR has not been able to receive a bank loan for the purchase of modern units and continues to **only operate traditional units**. According to the members of Cooperative NCR, the Development Bank of the Philippines has responded but has not approved their loan request, even with the endorsement of the LGU. They have not received a formal explanation on why their request has not been approved, even though many other cooperatives including IV-A and VII, along with many other cooperatives within Metro Manila and nationwide have been granted loans for modern units. Members of the cooperative believe that this may



be due to recent Senate Hearings that caused a temporary deferment of the PUVMP implementation, which caused confusion regarding the continuation of the implementation of the program.

	Region IV-A NCR		Region VII		
Year of Consolidation	2018	2017	2018		
Number of member-operators	2400	160	50		
Number of routes	45 (with 8 modernized routes)	6	6		
Number of modern PUJ units	87	0	18		

Table 1. Consolidation background of each cooperative

5.1. Cooperative revenue and expenses

Cooperatives IV-A and VII earn revenue from daily operations of modern jeepneys and also traditional jeepneys in the case of VII. Cooperative NCR, not having acquired modern units, earns solely from the operations of traditional jeepneys. NCR shared that this was not enough to sustain the cooperative's expenses stating that:

"Kulang po talaga. May daily quota kaming iniimplement sa mga drivers, pero di talaga kaya. Maraming dumadaan, maraming other coops plying the same route.

"Our revenue is insufficient. We have a daily quota that we implement to our drivers, but it is not enough. There is competition, many other cooperatives plying the same routes."

All three cooperatives benefit from service contracting funds from the government for both modern and traditional unit operations. Aside from revenue from daily operations, IV-A and VII earn additional revenue from other sources such as auto supply sales, diesel sales, and advertisements. IV-A also earns revenue from Automated Fare Collection System (AFCS) card sales.

	Region IV-A	NCR	Region VII
Daily Operations of Modern Units	\checkmark	×	 ✓
Daily Operations of Traditional Units	×	\checkmark	 ✓
Service Contracts of Modern Units	\checkmark	×	×
Service Contracts of Traditional Units	V	\checkmark	×
Autosupply Sales	\checkmark	×	×

Table 2. Revenue Sources of Each Cooperative



Diesel Sales	×	×	\checkmark
Advertisements in modern units	\checkmark	×	×
AFCS Card	\checkmark	×	×
Insurance Commissions	\checkmark	×	×

Cooperative expenses depend on whether the jeepney fleet is managed by the cooperative or still under individual operators. As seen in Table 4, NCR as a cooperative only pays for office rental, utilities, and supplies. Since the cooperative does not have a modern jeepney fleet yet, existing traditional jeepneys of member operators were not consolidated as a fleet, and operational expenses are still handled by operators individually. **According to their members, fleet management of traditional units is not cost effective.** IV-A and VII with their fleet management already have operational expenses as cooperatives including salaries of driver and operators, fuel, maintenance and repair, and terminal and garage rental.

	Region IV-A	NCR	Region VII
Fuel	\checkmark	×	✓
Maintenance and Repair	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Office Supplies	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
Office Rental	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓
Terminal Rental	\checkmark	×	✓
Garage Rental	\checkmark	×	✓
Salaries	\checkmark	×	✓
Registration Fees and Permits	V	×	V
Loan Interest	\checkmark	×	✓
Coverage for Accidents	\checkmark	×	✓
Utilities	\checkmark	\checkmark	V

Table 3. Expenses of Each Cooperative

5.2. Financing strategies and current government assistance received

Loans for the purchase of modern jeepney units by IV-A and VII are from the Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP) and Landbank respectively, with loan terms stated in Table 5. IV-A has also been able to purchase 2 modern units directly through cashout from funds earned from government service contracting, while VII has also acquired units through a cooperative bank and in-house financing. Additionally, the leaders of Cooperative VII shared that due to their early commitment to the program, they were able to acquire the modern units at a lower price back in 2019.



Table 4. Financing Strategies of Modern Units in Each Cooperative

	Region IV-A	NCR	Region VII
Loan with the Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP)	85 modern units	Has not received a loan yet	×
Loan with Landbank	×		10 modern units
Cashout: Revenue from Service Contracts	2 modern units		2 modern units
Cooperative Bank, monthly tranches	×		5 modern units
In-house financing, post-dated check	×		1 modern unit

Table 5. Loan Term Agreements

	Region IV-A	NCR	Region VII
Financing Institution	Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP)	Has not received a loan	Landbank
Years to pay	7	yet	7
Interest per annum	6%		6%

Table 6. Other Forms of Government Assistance Received

	Region IV-A	NCR	Region VII
Grant from the Provincial Cooperative, Livelihood & Entrepreneurial Development Office (PCLEDO)	P20,000 (in 2022) P50,000 (in 2024)	×	×
Equity Subsidy	P160,000 per modern unit for 85 units, subtracted from amount loaned in the bank	×	P160,000 per modern unit for 10 units, subtracted from amount loaned in the bank
Fuel Subsidy	Php10,000 per unit (2024)	P5,000 per unit (2024)	Has not received fuel subsidy yet
Service Contracting	P20/km for traditional units P26/km for modern units	P4/km for traditional units	P20/km for traditional units P26/km for modern units

Government support has been through an equity subsidy of Php 160,000 per modern unit, which is subtracted from the initial principal cost paid to the bank. IV-A also received funding from grants from the Provincial Cooperative, Livelihood & Entrepreneurial Development Office (PCLEDO) in 2022 and 2024. The government service contracting program also provides a subsidy equivalent to Php 26 per kilometer traveled per day for a modern jeepney, and Php 20 per kilometer traveled per day for a traditional jeepney. However, this "service contracting" scheme is not implemented consistently year-round but is



instead implemented for short periods of time, ranging from 10 days to 2 months at a time, according to a key informant. As such, existing subsidies from government service contracting cannot be considered as regular sources of revenue aimed at recovering both operational and amortization costs, but are rather closer to lump sum transfers received when there is government funding available. It is also important to stipulate that the current service contracting program is being implemented nationwide which results in varying conditions for funding disbursement.

Moreover, Cooperative NCR has received a fuel subsidy of P5,000 in total per unit in 2024. This is, however, conditional if fuel prices reach 80 dollars per barrel. Cooperative IV-A also received a fuel subsidy in 2024, at P10,000 per unit. In the next section, we attempt to determine a government service contracting model that is better aimed towards cost recovery for cooperatives.

5.3. Financial Analysis

The analysis aims to determine the cost recovery ratio of the cooperative and determine an ideal amount for government subsidy for the given cost deficit. Financial data from Cooperative IV-A was the most complete from the 3 case studies, and analysis from this point will focus on their data. For cost recovery analysis, their financial data for the year 2023 was used due to its completeness. The summary of the cooperative's revenue sources from January 2022 to June 2024 is indicated in Table 7.

Annual Income Source	MPUJ Farebox Revenue	Trad PUJ Farebox Revenue	MPUJ Service Contracts	Trad PUJ Service Contracts	Other Revenue Streams	Total Revenue
2022 (Jan to Dec)	₱0.00	₱0.00	₱0.00	₱8,021,000.00	₱71,500.00	₱8,092,500.00
2023 (Jan to Dec)	₱67,687,782.00	₱0.00	₱0.00	₱0.00	₱50,034.00	₱67,737,816.00
2024 (Jan to Jun)	₱10,315,714.00	₱0.00	₱11,526,065.20	₱2,829,135.97	₱6,799.00	₱24,677,714.17

Table 7. Cooperative IV-A Revenue Sources from January 2022 to June 2024

In 2022, IV-A did not have modern public utility jeepney (MPUJ) units yet as they were still purchasing units and arranging the loan term agreements with DBP. Their income came from solely service contracts of traditional PUJs.

By 2023, they have already entered the loan and started operating modern PUJs, which explains the jump in MPUJ farebox revenue. There was no government service contracting subsidy received for 2023, so all operating and capital expenses were shouldered by the cooperative.

In the first half of 2024, their farebox revenue is noticeably smaller compared to the 2023 annual farebox revenue. According to a key informant, the *ber*-months (September to December) usually bring higher passenger ridership and fare revenues, and their revenues are still expected to increase by the end of the year due to the holiday rush. They also continue to gain revenue from service contracts from both modern and traditional PUJs. According to them, through their effective fleet management of modern units, the competition between modern and traditional units plying the same routes was minimized.



5.4. Cost recovery analysis of Cooperative IV-A

The cooperative's revenue line items are categorized into 2 categories:

- Operational revenue refers to the fares collected from the daily operations;
- Non-operational revenue refers to items that are not directly associated with fleet operations such as membership fees, penalty and certification fees, consolidation fees, and bank interest.

Table 8. Total Annual Revenue for 2023

Total Annual Operating Revenue	₱67,687,782.00
Total Annual Nonoperating Revenue	₱225,269.00
Total Annual Revenue	₱67,913,051.00
	, ,

Moreover, the cooperative's cost line items are categorized into 2 categories:

- The cost of service line items refer to items that are dependent on the size of the cooperative's fleet such as driver's and conductor's Fees, incidental expenses insurance, repairs and maintenance, gas, oil, and lubricants, and depreciation of the units;
- Administrative and operational expenses are items that are incurred to keep the day to day of the cooperative functional and running such as social security contributions, travel and transportation, etc.

Table 9. Total Annual Cost for 2023

Total Annual Cost of Service	₱56,213,484.31
Total Annual Admin and Operating Expenses	₱7,307,238.48
Total Annual Cost	₱63,520,722.79
Total Monthly Cost	₱5,293,393.57

Through a loan with the Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP), the cooperative purchased their modern fleet of 85 units in 2022 at P1.95 million per unit, with an equity subsidy of P160,000 per unit from the national government. The equity subsidy amount was determined by the Land Transport Franchising and Regulatory Board (LTFRB)'s Department Order No. 2020-006. This leaves the final cost per unit at P1.79 million.

Table 10. Computation of total Cost per unit at current equity subsidy

Cost/unit	₱1,950,000.00
Equity Subsidy/unit	-₱160,000.00
Total cost/unit	₱1,790,000.00

According to the cooperative, their loan term agreement with the DBP is set at a 6% interest rate per annum for 78 payment periods. This means that for 78 months from the start of the agreement, they need to repay DBP P27,770.46 per modern unit per month or P2.36M per month for the entire fleet.

Table 11. Loan Terms Agreement with DBP



Principal/Amount borrowed	₱1,790,000.00
Rate	6% per annum
Payment periods (months)	78
Payment per period	₽27,770.46
Units loaned	85
Total monthly amortization payment for entire fleet	₱2,360,489.36

The cost recovery ratio is then computed by dividing the total monthly revenue by the sum of the total monthly cost and total monthly amortization payment for the entire fleet. The cost recovery ratio differs from the farebox recovery ratio which is defined as the ratio of fare revenues with operating costs, and is therefore expected to be higher when amortization payments are not considered. But in the case of cooperatives undergoing modernization in the PUVMP, the capital cost of modern units is a significant factor that needs to be considered alongside operational and non-operational costs.

Table 12. Cost recovery ratio of Cooperative Region IV-Ain 2023

	Cooperative IV-A 2023
Cost recovery ratio	73.94%

A cost recovery ratio of 73.94% was calculated for Cooperative IV-A in 2023, meaning that fare revenues do not fully recover the cost of operations and amortization payments. According to a focus group discussion with the cooperative, while they were not able to fully recover their total costs for the year, they were able to stay afloat due to the service contracting funds that acted as a 'shock absorber' for their finances.

It is also important to note that the cost recovery ratio of Cooperative IV-A is actually still higher compared to transit farebox recovery ratios seen in other countries with government-subsidized public transportation such as those in the US (35%) and Europe (44%), but much lower than the Asian average of 137% (WSDOT, 2009). This emphasizes the idea that public transport is a public service and that its operations are not generally meant to be profitable from fare collection alone. When public transport as an industry and service is not inherently profitable due to operating and capital costs, government investment should be a basic service provided to the sector who should not be expected to shoulder cost deficits.

For a supply side subsidy program in which the government covers the cost deficit coming from both operational and amortization costs, ideal government financial support is calculated, shown in Table 13. Government subsidies were identified to be in two forms: equity subsidy to be paid once during procurement of modern units; and subsidy through net service contracting which is to be implemented on a regular basis year-round.

Cost recovery analysis was done considering two cases: the existing Php 160k equity subsidy; and a proposed Php 500k equity subsidy. The increased equity subsidy value is advocated by the Move As One Coalition (2021), a civil society organization of over 140 organizations, to cover at least 25% of the average cost of a modern jeepney unit—providing an incentive for owners of traditional units to participate in the modernization program. This proposed amount of Php 500k equity subsidy is actively



lobbied by civil society groups in public budget hearings, stakeholder consultations, etc.

The analysis will show then the impacts of higher equity subsidies in the amount of service contracting subsidy needed for cost recovery. The additional government subsidy for cost recovery is computed by subtracting the revenue from the sum of the total cost and amortization.

Table 13. Ideal Government Support per Subsidy Scheme

	With Php 160k Equity Subsidy per unit	With Php 500k Equity Subsidy per unit
Monthly Amortization per Unit	₱27,770.46	₱22,495.63
Total Monthly Amortization for the entire fleet	₱2,360,489.36	₱1,912,128.25
Monthly ideal total government subsidy through service contracting	₱1,994,462.01	₱1,546,100.90
Annual ideal total government subsidy through service contracting	₽23,933,544.09	₱18,553,210.80
Ideal annual government subsidy through service contracting per unit	₽281,571.11	₱218,273.07

To ensure cost recovery, the government must ideally provide the following additional subsidies through service contracting:

- Php 282k per unit per year at the current 160k equity subsidy scheme
- Php 218k per unit per year at the proposed 500k equity subsidy scheme

5.5. Net service contracting schemes

Given a defined amount for annual government support, we recommend it to be provided through a net service contracting scheme which provides financial support as an incentive for satisfactory performance of a standardized service plan. Based on average operational characteristics of Cooperative IV-A shown in Table 14, the effective per-kilometer rate to be paid to cooperatives within the proposed service contracting scheme was calculated and shown in Table 15.

Table 14. Cooperative IV-A Modern PUJ Route Operational Characteristics

Average round trip distance (km)	40
Average number of round trips per day	5.25
Average total kilometers per day	210
Annual kilometers traveled*	54,600

*Assumption: 5 days of operations per week per unit

Table 15. Proposed Service Contracting Payment per Subsidy Scheme

	160k Subsidy One-time	500k Subsidy One-time
Proposed estimated service contracting payment per km per unit	₱5.16	₱4.00



Current Government Service	P20/km for traditional units
Contracting Payment Scheme	P26/km for modern units

It can be seen that based on the data from Cooperative IV-A, the per-kilometer rate in a proposed net service contracting scheme is much lower than the current rate used by the government in their limited implementation of service contracting programs, resulting in up to an 85% decrease if paired with a 500k one-time equity subsidy per unit. However, it is important to note that this value is designed for a net service contracting scheme that is implemented year-round, unlike the current implementation of service contracting experienced by Cooperative IV-A which has inconsistent implementation periods. Additionally, other forms of service contracting programs are being implemented in other regions nationwide, including gross service contracting or *Libreng Sakay* services, or fuel subsidies as in the case of Cooperative NCR.

In designing service contracting schemes, the metric for payments to cooperatives should not be solely based on the number of kilometers traveled, as it may risk the running of unnecessary and excess trips during off-peak hours to artificially boost the number of kilometers traveled per unit. Estupiñán et al. (2007) raises this point as it has been observed that supply side subsidies tend to result in raised costs but without a clear improvement in the level of performance or benefit to the commuting public. We recommend that subsidy payments be linked to clear performance metrics, such as adherence to a proper schedule of public transport trips, with different vehicle frequencies and headways for peak and off-peak hours, designed using passenger demand data to be reliable and efficient in actual operations.

An example of this type of service contracting scheme is Quezon City's Bus Augmentation Program or *Libreng Sakay*. While being a free-ride public transport service with 100% of operating costs effectively subsidized by the local government, the payment of subsidies is still based on adherence to the program's terms of reference which differs for each route. In their case, there are requirements following defined schedules and headways, designated bus stops where the buses can only board and alight, and a maximum number of standing passengers to ensure comfort. Government service contracting programs should follow this format of establishing service plan requirements so that improvements in the public transport system that benefit the commuting public can be incentivized by government funding while providing support for transport workers.

Additionally, for the provision of equity subsidies, the government should actively monitor and regulate the per-unit costs of modern vehicles which are observed to rise with the current demand brought by the PUVMP. If the amount of equity subsidy is reactive to the costs of modern units, there is a continuous incentive for unit costs to continue to rise, burdening both transport workers and the government.

6. Conclusion

The case studies of NCTU transport cooperatives show the diverse experiences of transport workers undergoing franchise consolidation and fleet modernization under the PUVMP. The cases of Cooperatives IV-A and VII can be considered as successful cases based on Sunio et al. 's metrics of successful cooperatives in the PUVMP pilot implementation, in that they are able to operate and manage a modern PUV fleet. Cooperative NCR is a notable case because they are unable to comply with the requirements for vehicle modernization due to not being granted a bank loan for buying modern jeepney units. This puts into question the commitment of the government in the PUVMP (now PTMP)



and the factors that influence why cooperatives are unable to participate in the program and why banks are reluctant to provide loans for modern vehicles.

The research successfully shows that a successful transition of a transport cooperative in the PUVMP necessitates government support. In the case of NCTU cooperatives, government support was in the form of equity subsidy, service contracting, and fuel subsidy for Cooperative NCR. Each cooperative emphasized that government support, especially service contracting, was instrumental for them to sustain their transition and modernization. The additional revenue from service contracting enabled cooperatives to fund the purchase of modern vehicles. This shows that adequate government investment should address the primary point of opposition for the implementation of the PUVMP which is the high cost of purchasing modern jeepneys. We then argue that through a cost recovery framework that can be adjusted to the financial contexts of each transport cooperative, adequate government investment can be determined that will ensure that transport workers are equitably supported in a just transition approach to public transport modernization.

We recommend that as part of the PTMP implementation, a government unit should be established that analyzes the financial data of transport cooperatives participating in the program and develops a standardized system of government investment through equity subsidy and service contracting that at the minimum ensures cost recovery for transport cooperatives and just working conditions and compensation for transport workers. At the same time, service contracting schemes should include strict monitoring and evaluating systems that ensure commuter service quality is maintained.



References

Abante, K.I., Bendana, R.H., Cerna, T., Dayao, D.L., Fernandez, M., Jr., Gascon, R., Mata, J., Siy, R., Jr., Ugay, J.C. (2021). Position Paper on the Public Utility Vehicle Modernization Program (PUVMP).

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349139648_Just_Transition_Just_Reform_Move_As_ One_Position_Paper_on_the_Public_Utility_Vehicle_Modernization_Program_PUVMP_of_the_ Philippines as of 9 February 2021

- Abram, S., Atkins, E., Dietzel, A., Jenkins, K., Kiamba, L., Kirshner, J., Kreienkamp, J., Parkhill, K., Pegram, T., & Santos Ayllón, L. M. (2022). Just Transition: A whole-systems approach to decarbonisation. *Climate Policy*, 22(8), 1033–1049. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2108365
- Aggabao, M. A. R., Belarmino, E. D. I., & Velasco, B. B. (2022). The Impact of COVID-19 on Transport Workers.
- Angeles, R., Cobarrubias, N., Bendaña, R., & Abante, K. (2024). Redesigning Philippine Land Transport Governance Towards Improving Commuter Service Quality. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380782496_Redesigning_Philippine_Land_Transport_Governance_Towards_Improving_Commuter_Service_Quality</u>
- Aranas, J. (2024). The shift to e-jeepneys in General Santos City [ABS-CBN News]. *ICSC* | *Institute for Climate and Sustainable Cities*. Retrieved September 10, 2024, from https://icsc.ngo/the-shift-to-e-jeepneys-in-general-santos-city-abs-cbn-news/
- Battrick, B. & European Space Agency (Eds.). (2004). *37th ESLAB symposium: Tools and technologies for future planetary exploration, 2-4 December 2003, ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands* [Electronic resource]. ESLAB Symposium, Noordwijk, The Netherlands. European Space Agency.
- Blacksmith Institute & Clean Air Asia. (2017). Alternative Technologies for the Philippine Utility Jeepney: A Cost-Benefit Study. Retrieved from

https://cleanairasia.org/sites/default/files/2021-05/10.%20Alternative%20Technologies%20for% 20the%20Philippines%20Utility%20Jeepney%20-%20A%20Cost-Benefit%20Study.pdf

Chang, K., Benito, D. J. P., Abante, K., & Bendaña, R. (2022). Towards a People-Centric Mobility Performance Evaluation and Monitoring System in the Philippines. <u>https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32965.73443</u>

Creating the Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board, Executive Order No. 202. (1987). <u>https://lawphil.net/executive/execord/eo1987/eo_202_1987.html</u>

- Department of Transportation. (2017). Department Order No. 2017-011: Omnibus Guidelines on the Planning and Identification of Public Road Transportation Services and Franchise Issuance.
- Dimalanta, R., Atienza, J. M., & Samonte, E. (2023). *Putting Transport Workers and Commuters First: The Route to Just Transition in Public Transport Modernization*

Dimalanta, R., & Morales, A. (2024). *Examining the PUVMP Through a Just Transition Lens*.

France-Presse, A. (2024, April 26). *End of the road? Philippine jeepneys face uncertain future*. ABS-CBN News.

https://news.abs-cbn.com/news/2024/4/26/end-of-the-road-philippine-jeepneys-face-uncertain-f uture-1201

Estupiñán, N., Gómez-Lobo, A., Muñoz-Raskin, R., & Serebrisky, T. (2007). Affordability and Subsidies in Public Urban Transport: What Do We Mean, What Can Be Done?



- Assessing PUV Modernization Program Industry Consolidation and Financing Towards Just Transition for Transport Workers R.S.L. Angeles, N.A.A. Cobarrubias, B.M.C. Cipriano, J.E. Aguilar, R.H.N. Bendaña, This Version: 28 November 2024
- Gatarin, G. R. (2024). Modernising the 'king of the road': Pathways for just transitions for the Filipino jeepney. *Urban Governance*, *4*(1), 37–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ugj.2023.11.002</u>
- Gaspay, S.M. & Salison, A.J. (2024). The Economics of E-Jeepney Transport Operations: Business Models, Enabling Factors, and Current Challenges.
- JICA. (2022). DATA COLLECTION SURVEY ON IMPROVING ROAD-BASED PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM IN METRO MANILA, REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES FINAL REPORT.
- Just Transition in the Paris Climate Agreement. (2016, September 27). https://www.ituc-csi.org/just-transition-in-the-paris
- *Key aspects of the Paris Agreement* | *UNFCCC*. (n.d.). Retrieved October 16, 2024, from <u>https://unfccc.int/most-requested/key-aspects-of-the-paris-agreement</u>
- Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board. (2020). *Memorandum Circular Number* 2020-085: Implementing Guidelines Pursuant to DOTr Department Order No. 2020-006.
- Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board. (2023). *Memorandum Circular Number* 2023-052: Guidelines on the Implementation of the PUVMP after 31 December 2023 Deadline for Filing the Application for Consolidation.
- Mateo-Babiano, I., Recio, R. B., Ashmore, D. P., Guillen, M. D., & Gaspay, S. M. (2020). Formalising the jeepney industry in the Philippines – A confirmatory thematic analysis of key transitionary issues. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 83, 100839. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2020.100839</u>
- McClean, D. (2017). *Philippines to ratify Paris Agreement—Philippines* | *ReliefWeb*. <u>https://reliefweb.int/report/philippines/philippines-ratify-paris-agreement</u>
- Mendoza, T. C. (2021). Addressing the "blind side" of the government's jeepney "modernization" program.
- *Modernising Public Transport in the Philippines*. (2018, May 11). Changing Transport. <u>https://changing-transport.org/modernizing-public-transport-in-the-philippines/</u>
- Montalbo, D. (2020). Public Transport Rationalization as a means to Sustainability. Retrieved from <u>https://conference.surp.upd.edu.ph/downloads/ICURP%202018%20Downloads/ST07_Public%</u> <u>20Transport%20Rationalization_MONTALBO.pdf</u>
- Pante, M. D. (2016). *The History of Mobility in the Philippines*. https://doi.org/10.3167/mih.2016.070110
- Pontawe, J., & Napalang, M. S. (2018). *Examining the Potential Significance of Industry Consolidation and Fleet Management in Implementing the DOTr's PUV Modernization Program: A Case Study of 1TEAM.* Philippine Transportation Journal, 1(2).
- PUVMP: Public Utility Vehicle Modernization Program Philippines. (2023, January 22). LTO Portal PH. <u>https://ltoportal.ph/puvmp-public-utility-vehicle-modernization-program/</u>
- Ramos, M. (2023). *As Philippines scraps jeepney buses, operators struggle with costs.* The Japan Times. Retrieved from

https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2023/02/28/asia-pacific/philippines-jeepney-operators-cost-s truggle/

Relativo, J. (2023). Officials grilled in LRT-MRT fare hike hearing over plans to "decrease subsidy." Philstar.Com. Retrieved November 17, 2024, from <u>https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2023/02/17/2245665/officials-grilled-Irt-mrt-fare-hike-hearing</u> <u>-over-plans-decrease-subsidy</u>

Relativo, J. (2024). *With 10,000 jeepneys to be taken off the road, commuters fear undersupply of public transpo.* Philstar.Com. Retrieved October 19, 2024, from



https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2024/05/03/2352342/10000-jeepneys-be-taken-road-comm uters-fear-undersupply-public-transpo

- Romero, S. E., Guillen, D., Cordova, L., & Gatarin, G. (2014). *Land-Based Transport Governance in the Philippines: Focus on Metro Manila*.
- Stevia, D., Morena, E., & Krause, D. (2019). The Genealogy and Contemporary Politics of Just Transitions. In *Just Transitions: Social Justice in the Shift Towards a Low-Carbon World*, 1-33. London: Pluto Press.
- Sunio, V., Gaspay, S., Guillen, M. D., Mariano, P., & Mora, R. (2019a). Analysis of the public transport modernization via system reconfiguration: The ongoing case in the Philippines. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, *130*, 1–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2019.09.004</u>
- *The Philippines ratifies the Paris Agreement!* (2021). La France Aux Philippines et En Micronésie. Retrieved October 16, 2024, from

https://ph.ambafrance.org/The-Philippines-ratifies-the-Paris-Agreement

- United Nations. (n.d.). *The Paris Agreement*. United Nations; United Nations. Retrieved September 3, 2024, from <u>https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/paris-agreement</u>
- Washington State Department of Transportation. (2009). *Transit Farebox Recovery and US and International Transit Subsidization: Synthesis.* Retrieved from

https://nacto.org/docs/usdg/transit_farebox_recovery_and_subsidies_synthesis_taylor.pdf